



BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Richard J. Davis, Chair

Robert Abrams	James J. Harrington	Malcolm MacKay
Luis Garden Acosta	Gail Hilson	H. Carl McCall
John Avlon	Chung-Wha Hong	Tom Osterman
Edward Bautista	John Horan	John G. Proudfit
Henry T. Berger	Amabel B. James	Bruce Rabb
Joel Berger	Robert M. Kaufman	Anusha Rasalingam
Richard Briffault	Robert G. M. Keating	Luis O. Reyes
Lucy Cabrera, Ph.D.	Eric Lee	Torrance Webster Robinson
Noreen Connell	Nathan Leventhal	Alan Rothstein
Christina R. Davis	Harold Levy	Peter J.W. Sherwin
Helena Rose Durst	Ogden N. Lewis	Edward C. Swenson
Gail Erickson	Mark Lieberman	Karen Washington
Edythe W. First	Gena Lovett	
David L. Fogel	Theodore S. Lynn	

LOCAL CANDIDATES COMMITTEE

John Horan, Chair

Miriam Adelman	Nicole Dooskin	Rita Kardeman	Marc Norman
Albert Asfazadour	Kevin Duffy	Patricia Killen	Tom Osterman
Scott Avidon	Aine Duggan	Peter Killen	Anne Perkins
Thomas Bach	Gail Erickson	David Charles Klein	J. Robert Pigott
Jessica Barclay- Strobel	Lyle Frank	Raymond Knowles	John G. Proudfit
Sally Barhydt	Martin Gallent	Adam Kurtz	Anusha Rasalingam
Joel Berger	Arthur Galub	Eric Lee	Luis O. Reyes
David Brauner	Joseph Gapper	Sandra Lespinasse	Richard Ropiak
Lucy Cabrera, Ph.D.	Luis Garden Acosta	Mark Lieberman	Kenneth Seplov
Andrew Cantor	Elaine Gerstein	Perry Luntz	Marjorie Shea
William Cantwell	Sally Goodgold	Theodore Lynn	Peter J.W. Sherwin
Stephan Cotton	Craig Gurian	Grace Lyu-Volkhausen	Robert Snyder
Christina R. Davis	James J. Harrington	Michael Marigliano	Edward C. Swenson
Richard J. Davis	Gail Hilson	Kerry McCarthy	Karen Washington
Diana de Fillipi	Susan Hinko	Bill Meehan	Kenneth Wasserman
Patricia Dolan	Seth Hufford	Andra Miller	William Weisberg
	Yvette Jackson	John Moran	Craig Wilson

STAFF

Dick Dadey - <i>Executive Director</i>	Sara Stuart - <i>Director of Development & Communications</i>
Doug Israel - <i>Local Candidates Staff Director</i>	Vera Willensky - <i>Volunteer Coordinator</i>
Amy Ngai - <i>Program Associate</i>	Chaleampon Ritthichai - <i>Art Director</i>
Sydney Beveridge - <i>Operations & Policy Associate</i>	

INTERNS AND VOLUNTEERS

Tarun Banerjee

Bradley Moore

Kathryn Roman



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Voters Directory General Election 2005

“A Union of Citizens, without regard to party, for the purpose of securing the honest and efficient government of the City of New York”

Letter from Citizens Union	4
About this Directory	5
Ballot Proposals	
-Statewide: Question I & II	6
-Municipal Charter Revision Proposals: Question III & IV	9
Contested Elections	12
Citizens Union City Council Candidate Questionnaire	17
City Council Questionnaire Responses	19
2005 General Election Preferred Candidates	21
Citywide Evaluations	
-Mayoral	22
-Public Advocate	26
-Comptroller	27
Bronx City Council Map	28
Bronx City Council Evaluations	29
Brooklyn City Council Map	31
Brooklyn City Council Evaluations	32
Manhattan City Council Map	39
Manhattan Evaluations	
-Borough President	40
-City Council	41
Queens City Council Map	50
Queens City Evaluations	
-Borough President	51
-City Council	52
Further Resources	57
Voter Information	58



LETTER FROM CITIZENS UNION

Dear Fellow New York Voter,

This November 8th voters will be asked several key questions. First and foremost, they will be asked whether or not they approve of the record of the incumbent Mayor over the past four years and the direction the city is heading or whether they believe that a change is in order.

Voters will also be asked to elect their next Borough President and citywide Public Advocate, two positions which while limited in their responsibilities continue to serve as a launching pad for candidates seeking higher office (e.g. Fernando Ferrer, Mark Green, C. Virginia Fields). Rounding out the list of seats up for election are City Comptroller, Civil, Supreme and Surrogate Court, District Attorney, and finally, City Council. On the following pages, we offer our considered views of many of these races and our candidate preferences as developed by our Local Candidates Committee and Board of Directors.

This year's election also offers the opportunity to participate in direct democracy via the referendum process. On this year's ballots, New York City voters will be presented with two proposed amendments to the New York City Charter. While Citizens Union has very strong reservations with the state law that allows a mayoral appointed Charter Revision Commission to take precedence, and in effect, knock off and deter any other municipal ballot proposals from appearing on the ballot, we feel it is incumbent upon our organization to advise voters on how to vote on Election Day on the proposals. We urge voters to vote YES on both. Our reasoning is contained in this Directory.

There also will be two statewide measures that appear on the ballot. On these we are split. We urge voters to vote NO on the budget reform proposal and YES on the transportation bond act. While Citizens Union has been an advocate for budget reform, this measure may actually do more harm than good. You'll find our reasoning within. We recommend that voters approve the bond act as it would authorize the state to issue \$2.9 billion in bonds to support much needed investments in transportation infrastructure projects, including such high priority projects as the Second Avenue subway.

Post-election, Citizens Union will continue efforts to make government more transparent, accountable and responsive by urging the City Council to take much-needed steps towards reforming how the Council functions. We'll be pushing to ensure that Council Members can suggest amendments to bills, that committees have the ability to schedule hearings and votes on bills without having to gain the Speaker's approval, and that the public receive better notification of upcoming hearings. We'll also be working to ensure that Council Members do not repeal or extend the voter approved term limit law without first consulting voters. We hope you'll join us in these efforts.

But before we get too far ahead of ourselves, remember to vote and vote smart on Tuesday, November 8th.

Sincerely,

Rich Davis
Chair, Board of
Directors

John Horan
Chair, Local
Candidates Committee

Dick Dadey
Executive Director

Doug Israel
Local Candidates
Staff Director



ABOUT THIS DIRECTORY

This directory lists every contested race for public office that will be on the ballot in New York City on Tuesday, November 8, 2005. Voters should be aware that New York's tangled election laws often result in the last minute elimination (or reinstatement) of candidates. Likewise, ballot proposals often face legal challenges and may not appear on the ballot.

Ballot proposals are evaluated by our Municipal and State Affairs Committees, which forward their recommendations to the Citizens Union Board of Directors for final approval. Our recommendations for each proposal are presented in the directory.

Key contests for City Council, Manhattan and Queens Borough President, Brooklyn District Attorney, Public Advocate, Mayor and Comptroller have been evaluated by nonpartisan interview teams from Citizens Union's Local Candidates Committee. Citizens Union did not evaluate candidates for Civil or Supreme Court. This directory limits itself to listing candidates, with biographical information they have submitted, for those offices.

Our candidate interview teams are charged with soliciting candidates' views on current good-government and general interest issues, and assessing the candidates' general experience, and their knowledge of the jurisdiction which they wish to serve and the office for which they are running. No single answer or position by a candidate ensures - or rules out - a preference.

Recommendations for a "Preferred Candidate" rating are made taking into account the following four factors: 1) the performance of the candidate at their interview, 2) the answers they have provided on our candidate questionnaire, 3) the accomplishments/experience of the candidate, and 4) the ability of a candidate to govern or lead.

These recommendations are reviewed by the Citizens Union Board of Directors, which makes the final decision. The "Preferred" rating reflects a candidate that Citizens Union deems not only qualified for the office being sought, but committed to honest and responsive government. Candidates not preferred may nevertheless be highly regarded by Citizens Union. These distinctions are generally reflected in the commentaries.

A "No Preference" rating may result when there is insufficient information available, when one or more of the candidates has not been interviewed, or when a determination has been made that the candidates are of equal merit.

Candidates who received a "Preferred Candidate" rating for the Primary Election did not automatically receive our preference for the General Election. We will re-evaluated the candidates and races after the Primary took place.

To view the candidates' specific responses to our questionnaire, go to www.citizensunion.org.

All maps were supplied by the Community Mapping Assistance Project (CMAP), a service of the New York Public Interest Research Group.



BALLOT PROPOSALS

QUESTION 1: AMENDMENT TO ARTICLES IV AND VII OF THE STATE CONSTITUTION, IN RELATION TO THE SUBMISSION OF THE BUDGET TO THE LEGISLATURE BY THE GOVERNOR

The proposed amendment to Articles IV and VII of the Constitution would change the process for enactment of the state budget by (a) providing for a contingency budget if the Legislature does not act on the Governor's appropriation bills before the start of the fiscal year; (b) placing limits on the amount of spending during such contingency period; (c) once such contingency period begins, eliminating the requirement that the Legislature act on the Governor's proposed appropriation bills, and instead authorizing the Legislature to end the contingency period by adopting a multiple appropriation bill making changes to the contingency budget, subject to line item veto by the Governor; and (d) authorizing the Legislature, subject to veto by the Governor, to modify the spending limits for future contingency budgets, except that such changes cannot take effect until three years after enactment. The proposed amendment also sets forth certain requirements for the operation of a fiscal stabilization reserve fund, from which money could be disbursed in a subsequent year. It would require estimates and information provided by state departments to the Governor for use in preparing the budget to be available to the public. It would provide a date certain by which the Governor must submit a budget and appropriation bills to the Legislature. It would reduce the time the Governor has to make changes to the budget and appropriation bills submitted to the Legislature without the Legislature's consent from thirty days to twenty-one days.

Shall the proposed amendment be approved?

CITIZENS UNION ANALYSIS

A citywide good government organization founded in 1897, Citizens Union is opposed to the proposed amendment to the State Constitution affecting the consideration and adoption of the state budget. While the state budget process is in need of significant reform to ensure that New York residents are not subjected to perennial late budgets that have plagued the state for 20 of the past 21 years, the amendment to the Constitution shifts too much power away from the Governor into the hands of the Legislature. Though Citizens Union is in favor of some of the amendment's provisions and believes that the Governor currently has too much power over the way in which budgets are developed and passed, this amendment goes too far in addressing the problem.

Under the proposal, a contingency budget is enacted should the Legislature



BALLOT PROPOSALS

fail to reach agreement with the Governor before the start of the fiscal year, which would be moved from the first of April to the first of May. After this point, the Legislature gains control of developing the budget through a provision that authorizes them to pass a multiple appropriation bill to which the Governor then has to respond, shifting budgetary authority to the Legislature. This proposed process could arguably provide little incentive for the State Legislature to negotiate with the Governor and pass a budget before the start of the fiscal year. While Citizens Union supports a contingency budget process to ensure that the State does not sit in limbo, the contingency budget proposed is also problematic, because it is based on last year's disbursements and not negotiated allocations. Additionally, the law implementing this amendment does not specify how the contingency budget would be developed, what form it would take, if it would be made public, and which allocations would qualify as recurring.

Citizens Union is an advocate for reforming the budget process and giving the legislature a greater role, but we are not convinced that the passage of this amendment would result in the kind of reform Citizens Union supports. To the contrary, this process could create delay and less accountability as budget authority is shifted from the Executive to the Legislature.

CITIZENS UNION RECOMMENDS: VOTE NO ON QUESTION 1

QUESTION 2: REBUILD AND RENEW NEW YORK TRANSPORTATION BOND ACT OF 2005

To promote and assure the preservation, renewal and improvement of the state's roads and bridges; subways, trains and buses; waterways and airports; and other vital transportation systems, facilities and equipment for the benefit of the people of the state, shall section one of part 1 of chapter 60 of the laws of two thousand five, enacting and constituting the "REBUILD AND RENEW NEW YORK TRANSPORTATION BOND ACT OF 2005" authorizing the creation of a state debt in the amount of two billion nine hundred million dollars (\$2,900,000,000) for the construction, improvement, reconditioning and preservation of transportation systems and facilities, including the acquisition of equipment, be approved?



BALLOT PROPOSALS

CITIZENS UNION ANALYSIS

The Rebuild and Renew New York New York Transportation Bond Act of 2005 would partially fund the State's five-year \$17.9 billion transportation capital plan for highway and bridge improvements throughout the state and \$17.9 billion for Metropolitan Transportation Agency (MTA) repairs and upgrades. If passed, the state would issue \$2.9 billion in debt (long-term bonds which would be paid off with state revenues) to be split evenly between the MTA and State Department of Transportation for priority projects enumerated in the proposal. They include a variety of new construction projects and new equipment for the MTA, and several state highway and bridge improvement projects such as planned repairs to freight railroad lines and airport security improvements.

Though the borrowing proposed would add to New York's already large debt burden of \$46.7 billion, the proposed projects have received wide recognition as not only valuable but inevitable investments in the city and state's transportation infrastructure. Furthermore, while Citizens Union has voiced serious concerns in the past about the "back-door" borrowing that has been promulgated by our state's public authorities, the transparency and accountability that is built into this particular public referendum is commendable. The bond act specifies specific projects to be pursued and delineates a dedicated funding stream and asks the voters to make the ultimate decision about the worthiness of the proposal and the benefits of the incurred debt.

Through consultation with transportation advocates, environmental organizations, public officials and civic leaders, Citizens Union concludes that this proposal is an important investment in the state's transportation infrastructure that will undoubtedly benefit New Yorkers and those that visit and work in the state for generations to come.

CITIZENS UNION RECOMMENDS: VOTE YES ON QUESTION 2



BALLOT PROPOSALS

NEW YORK CITY CHARTER REVISION COMMISSION PROPOSALS TO AMEND THE NEW YORK CITY CHARTER

This year, the Mayor has once again appointed a Charter Revision Commission to recommend ballot proposal amendments to modify the New York City Charter. Citizens Union has taken the position over the past several years that the Charter Revision process is in need of reform. We have consistently pointed out that:

1. The Mayor's ability to appoint a Charter Revision Commission and submit proposals for the ballot and in effect supersede the ability of any other entity to advance a proposal to the ballot has been abused over time. Due to this practice, only those issues with the support of the Mayor are brought forth to the voters at election time. Citizens Union believes it is crucial that the protocol for this process be reformed so as to ensure that the power of the ballot to make charter changes is not monopolized by any one branch of government.
2. The excessive use of the charter revision process to address technical issues laden with administrative and regulatory details is in need of restraint. Too often over the past two decades, the Charter Revision Commission has suggested changes to the charter that are beyond the ability of voters with limited knowledge of the internal workings of government to adequately assess with the campaign-fed information that they receive. Many of the proposals advanced need not be addressed through the formation of a Charter Revision Commission and that in fact, many can and should be handled legislatively through the New York City Council. Previous Charter Revision Commissions have been appointed at a very late date and have proceeded without adequate public notification or input that has limited the ability of the public to evaluate properly the proposals. In many cases, proposals put forth by these Commissions are done to serve the duplicitous function of ensuring nothing else appears on the ballot.

Citizens Union will work to pass state legislation aimed at reforming these troubling aspects of the city charter reform process.

While we hold to these reasoned positions and principles, this year's Charter Review Commission was appointed in a timely fashion and operated in a transparent and inclusive manner. Furthermore, the proposals being deliberated, while not pressing issues that have been on the public consciousness, are important matters that affect the operation of the city's judicial system and its future financial stability.



BALLOT PROPOSALS

QUESTION 3: ETHICS CODE FOR CITY ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGES

These changes to the City Charter, as proposed by the New York City Charter Revision Commission, would require the Mayor and the Chief Administrative Law Judge of the Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings to jointly issue rules establishing a code or codes of professional conduct for the administrative law judges and hearing officers in the City's administrative tribunals.

Shall the proposed changes be adopted?

CITIZENS UNION ANALYSIS

New York City's administrative tribunals and executive branch courts are the primary means of interaction between New Yorkers and the judicial system of the city. The tribunals and branch courts exist to resolve citizen complaints and disputes such as noise complaints, parking fines and other "quality of life" issues. Instituting a uniform and fair code of ethics and conduct is long overdue. It will help establish better management and efficiency and increase the level of public trust in these bodies. Though Citizens Union has concerns about the charter worthiness of this change since we believe that the change could have been affected through either executive order or City Council action, Citizens Union believes that the proposal itself is meritorious and needed. In the absence of legislative or executive action in the past in this respect, Citizens Union supports this effort to address this important issue.

CITIZENS UNION RECOMMENDS: VOTE YES ON QUESTION 3

QUESTION 4: BALANCED BUDGET AND OTHER CITY FISCAL REQUIREMENTS

These changes to the City Charter, as proposed by the New York City Charter Revision Commission, would establish as Charter requirements the following fiscal mandates that, in general, now apply to the City through a State law enacted in response to the City's 1975 fiscal crisis. The changes would add these mandates to the City Charter so that they would continue to apply after the State law expires. The changes would:



BALLOT PROPOSALS

- *Require that the City annually prepare a budget balanced in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and end each year not showing a deficit in accordance with those principles;*
- *Require that the Mayor annually prepare a four-year City financial plan, to be based on reasonable assumptions and modified on at least a quarterly basis, and that the plan provide for payment of the City's debts and a general reserve of at least \$100 million to cover shortfalls;*
- *Impose additional conditions on the Charter's current restrictions on short-term debt (which may be issued by the City to fund a projected deficit or in anticipation of the receipt of funds from taxes, revenues, and bonds). These conditions generally limit the duration and amount of the short-term debt; and*
- *Impose additional conditions on the annual audit of the City's accounts that is currently required by the Charter. These conditions relate to application of generally accepted auditing standards and access by auditors to records so that the audit may be issued within four months after the close of the City fiscal year.*

Shall the proposed changes be adopted?

CITIZENS UNION ANALYSIS

The Financial Emergency Act (FEA), which is scheduled to expire in 2008, was created in response to the fiscal crisis of the early 1970's when the city was spending much more than it took in and began issuing short-term debt to fill the budget gaps. The FEA was instituted to ensure a greater level of fiscal responsibility and to restore confidence in the city's finances, specifically in the city's ability to repay its debt. While critics point out that the proposal falls short by not renewing the mandate of the Financial Control Board or delineating a process for the creation of a "rainy day fund" to make sure the city has cash in times of need, the proposed elements are important pieces to help ensure the future fiscal health of the City of New York. Citizens Union believes many of the provisions of the FEA serve the city well and that it is prudent to institute permanently many of these controls into the City Charter.

CITIZENS UNION RECOMMENDS: VOTE YES ON QUESTION 4



CONTESTED GENERAL ELECTIONS 2005

NEW YORK CITY MAYOR

TERM OF OFFICE: FOUR YEARS • SALARY: \$195,000

Michael R Bloomberg (R-I)

Seth A. Blum (E)

Fernando Ferrer (D)

Anthony Gronowicz (G)

Martin Koppel (SW)

Jimmy McMillan (RDH)

Thomas V. Ognibene (C)

Audrey Silk (LBT)

NEW YORK CITY COMPTROLLER

TERM OF OFFICE: FOUR YEARS • SALARY: \$160,000

Daniel B. Fein (SW)

Ron Moore (L)

Herbert F. Ryan (C)

William C. Thompson (D-WF)

NEW YORK CITY PUBLIC ADVOCATE

TERM OF OFFICE: FOUR YEARS • SALARY: \$150,000

Bernard Goetz (REB)

Betsy F. Gotbaum (D)

Jay Golub (C)

Jim Lesczynski (LBT)

BRONX BOROUGH PRESIDENT †

TERM OF OFFICE: FOUR YEARS • SALARY: \$135,000

Kevin Brawley (R-C)

Adolfo Carrion (D-WF)

BROOKLYN BOROUGH PRESIDENT †

TERM OF OFFICE: FOUR YEARS • SALARY: \$135,000

Theodore Alatsas (R-C)

Gloria Mattera (G)

Marty Markowitz (D-WF)

Gary Popkin (REF)

MANHATTAN BOROUGH PRESIDENT

TERM OF OFFICE: FOUR YEARS • SALARY: \$135,000

Joseph Dobrian (LBT)

Barry Popik (R-L)

Jessie A. Fields (I)

Scott M. Stringer (D-WF)

Arrin T. Hawkins (SW)

QUEENS BOROUGH PRESIDENT

TERM OF OFFICE: FOUR YEARS • SALARY: \$135,000

Helen Marshall (D-WF)

Philip T. Sica (R-C)

** District includes portion of more than one borough
Incumbent candidates in bold*

† Citizens Union did not evaluate this race



CONTESTED GENERAL ELECTIONS 2005

STATEN ISLAND BOROUGH PRESIDENT †

TERM OF OFFICE: FOUR YEARS • SALARY: \$135,000

John V. Luisi (D-I-WF)

James P. Molinaro (R-C)

BROOKLYN DISTRICT ATTORNEY

TERM OF OFFICE: 4 YEARS • SALARY: \$136,000

Charles Hynes (D)

Anthony Lamberti (R-Con)

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT - BRONX - 12, VOTE FOR 2 †

TERM OF OFFICE: FOURTEEN YEARS • SALARY: \$136,700

Darcel D. Clark (D)

Wilma Guzman (D)

Stephen B. Kaufman (C-R)

Lucianna Locorotondo (C-R)

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT - MANHATTAN - 1, VOTE FOR 3 †

TERM OF OFFICE: FOURTEEN YEARS • SALARY: \$136,700

Matthew V. Grieco (R)

Karla Moskowitz (D-R)

Martin Shulman (D-R)

Lottie E. Wilkins (D)

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT - QUEENS - 11, VOTE FOR 2 †

TERM OF OFFICE: FOURTEEN YEARS • SALARY: \$136,700

Kerry John Katsorhis (R-C)

Stephen A. Knopf (D)

Charles J. Markey (D)

Michael F. Pisapia (R)

JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT - STATEN ISLAND - 2, VOTE FOR 6 †

TERM OF OFFICE: FOURTEEN YEARS • SALARY: \$136,700

Carolyn E. Demarest (D-R)

David B. Vaughan (C-D-R)

Anthony J. Lamberti (C)

Richard Izzo (C)

Philip J. Smallman (C-R)

Esther M. Morgenstern (D-R)

John J. D'Emic (C)

Donald S. Kurtz (D-R)

Yvonne Lewis (D)

Reinaldo E. Rivera (C-D-R)

JUSTICE OF THE CIVIL COURT - COUNTY - BRONX, VOTE FOR 2 †

TERM OF OFFICE: UNTIL DECEMBER 31 OF THE YEAR IN WHICH THEY
BECOME 70 • SALARY: \$125,600

Ben R. Barbato (D)

Mithell J. Danzinger (D)

Marcos A. Pagan III (C-R)

Verena C. Powell (C-R)



CONTESTED GENERAL ELECTIONS 2005

JUSTICE OF THE CIVIL COURT - COUNTY - KINGS, VOTE FOR 2 †

TERM OF OFFICE: UNTIL DECEMBER 31 OF THE YEAR IN WHICH THEY BECOME 70 • SALARY: \$125,600

Sylvia Ash (D)
Philip Grant (REF)
James P. McCall (R-C)

Genine D. Edwards (D)
Vincent F. Martusciello (C)
Sandra Elena Roper (I)

JUSTICE OF THE CIVIL COURT - COUNTY - NY, VOTE FOR 2 †

TERM OF OFFICE: UNTIL DECEMBER 31 OF THE YEAR IN WHICH THEY BECOME 70 • SALARY: \$125,600

Ira R. Globerman (D)

Tanya R. Kennedy (D)

JUSTICE OF THE CIVIL COURT - COUNTY - QUEENS, VOTE FOR 3 †

TERM OF OFFICE: UNTIL DECEMBER 31 OF THE YEAR IN WHICH THEY BECOME 70 • SALARY: \$125,600

Maureen A. Healy (R-D-C)
Thomas D. Raffaele (D)

Steven W. Paytner (R-D-C)
Theodore A. Stamas (R)

JUSTICE OF THE CIVIL COURT - DISTRICT - 08 - BROOKLYN †

TERM OF OFFICE: UNTIL DECEMBER 31 OF THE YEAR IN WHICH THEY BECOME 70 • SALARY: \$125,600

Michael Reinhardt (C)

Kenneth P. Sherman (D)

NEW YORK CITY COUNCIL

TERM OF OFFICE: 4 YEARS • SALARY: \$90,000

BRONX

District 11	Steve Bradian (R-C) Oliver Koppell (D)	District 15 †	Joel Rivera (D) Steven Stern (R-C)
District 12 †	George Rubin (R-C) Lawrence Seabrook (D)	District 16 †	Lisa Marie Campbell (C) Helen Foster (D-R-WF)
District 13	Philip F. Foglia (C-I-R) James Vacca (D)	District 17 †	Maria Arroyo (D-I) Ali Mohamed (C)
District 14 †	Maria Baez (D) Antonio Rosario (I) Agustin Alamo Estrada (C)	District 18 †	Fabian A. Feliciano (R) Annabel Palma (D-WF) Albert Lefebvre (C)



CONTESTED GENERAL ELECTIONS 2005

BROOKLYN

District 33	Eric Hooks (R-Con) David Yassky (D-WF)	District 42 †	Charles Barron (D-WF) John Whitehead (R-C)
District 34*	Bryan Farmer (I) Diana Reyna (D-WF) Richard Trainer (R-C)	District 43	Vincent Gentile (D-WF-SCS) Pat Russo (R-I-C)
District 35	Charles Billups (I) Letitia James (D-WF) Anthony Herbert (R-C)	District 45 †	Salvatore Grupico (R-I-C) Erlene King (RTH) Kendall Stewart (D)
District 37 †	Erik Martin Dilan (D-I) Miguel Gonzalez (R-C)	District 46	Lewis A. Fidler (D) Mary E. Madden (R-C) Elias J. Weir (I)
District 38 †	Sara Gonzalez (D-WF) Vivian Vasquez Hernandez (R-I-C)	District 47 †	Russell Gallo (R-I-C) Domenic Recchia, Jr. (D-WF)
District 39	Bill de Blasio (D-WF) Yvette Velázquez Bennet (R-C)	District 48 †	Oleg Gutnik (R-C) Michael C. Nelson (D) Michael Roth (I)
District 41 †	A. Brinmore Britton (R) Darlene Mealy (D-WF) Naquan Muhammad (I)	District 50* †	David Ceder (D-I) James S. Oddo (R-C)

MANHATTAN

District 2	John Carlino (R-I) Claudia Flanagan (LBT) Rosie Mendez (D-WF)	District 6	Gale A. Brewer (D-WF) Joshua E. Yablon (R)
District 4	Daniel Garodnick (D-WF) Jak Jacob Karako (LBT) Patrick M. Murphy (R-I)	District 7	Allen Cox (I) Robert Jackson (D-WF) Michael Petelka (R)
District 5	Jessica S. Lappin (D-WF) Joel M. Zinberg (R-I)	District 9	Daryl G. Bloodsaw (I) Will Brown, Jr. (R) Inez E. Dickens (D) Woody Henderson (WV)

* District includes portion of more than one borough
Incumbent candidates in bold

† Citizens Union did not evaluate this race



CONTESTED GENERAL ELECTIONS 2005

QUEENS

District 19	Anthony Avella (D-WF) Peter Boudouvas (R-I-C)	District 25	Rodolfo Flores (I) Masud Rahman (R) Helen Sears (D-WF)
District 20 [†]	Raquel Lacomba Walker (C) John Liu (D-I-WF)	District 26	Eric Gioia (D) Nancy Hanks (I) Robyn Sklar (G)
District 22 [†]	Gerald Kahn (G) Thomas Ruks (LBT) Peter Vallone Jr. (R-D-C)	District 28 [†]	Charles A. Bilal (I) Jereline Hunter (R) Thomas White, Jr. (D)
District 24	James F Gennaro (D-WF) Renee Lobo (I) Stephen Lynch (R)	District 34*	Bryan Farmer (I) Diana Reyna (D-WF) Richard Trainer (R-C)

STATEN ISLAND

District 49 [†]	Jody Hall (R) Michael McMahon (D-WF-C)	District 51 [†]	Andrew J. Lanza (R-I-C) Craig E. Schlanger (D)
District 50* [†]	David Ceder (D-I) James S. Oddo (R-C)		

NEW YORK STATE ASSEMBLY

TERM OF OFFICE: 2 YEARS • SALARY: \$79,350

SPECIAL ELECTION: BROOKLYN

District 43 [†]	Kenneth Cook (R-LBT-C) Karim Camara (D)	Geoffrey A. Davis (I)
--------------------------	--	-----------------------

* District includes portion of more than one borough
Incumbent candidates in bold

† Citizens Union did not evaluate this race

Party Affiliations

C = Conservative
D = Democrat
E = Education
G = Green

I = Independence
LBT = Libertarian
R = Republican
REB = Rebuild
REF = Reform

RTH = Rent Too Damn High
SCS = Smaller Class Size
SWP = Socialist Workers
WF = Working Families Party
WV = War Veterans